

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,733	10/29/2001	Navid Malik	60800B	7937
109	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION P. O. BOX 1967			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967			1617	8
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	10/01/133 WALIK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	Examiner Group Art Unit WEBMAN 1617				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status /	/ 2				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	103				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 					
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
Claim(s) 1-16	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	·				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.				
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the CERTIFIED copies of t					
received.					
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International 					
*Certified copies not received:	·				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsp rson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other				
Office A	action Summary				

Art Unit: 1617

Claim 2 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising actives. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: dendritic polymers with an anionic Functinal group, dendritic polymers without anionic functional groups.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, dentritic polymers are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1617

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If applicants elect a dendritic polymer with anionic functional group, the following election of species is required:

Claims 11, 12 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising dendritic polymers with anionic functional groups. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

If applicants elect a platin-based analogue from claim 2 above, the following election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a plat based analogue is encapsulated within the dendrimer, a platinum based analogue is not encapsulated within the dendrimer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, dendrimer-platin based analogue conjugates are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Art Unit: 1617

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Page 5

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-

4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd September 11, 2003

> EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500